

ECON 203

Midterm on Consumer Theory

Be sure to show your work for all answers, even if the work is simple.
This exam will begin at 12:05 in AZ25, 12:10 in AZ27 and 12:15 in A125.

It will end 100 minutes later.

1. (12 points) **Honor Statement:** Please read and sign the following statement:

I promise that my answers to this test are based on my own work without reference to any notes, books, or the assistance of any other person. I will also neither help others nor use a calculator or other electronic aid for calculation.

Name and Surname: _____
Student ID: _____
Signature: _____

2. (35 points total) In a YouTube video Drew Gooden explained how he first waited until it was almost too late to watch a series on Netflix, and then bought the Blu-ray collection for \$150 to finish the series. He then realized his CD player would not play blu-ray discs, and he bought a blu-ray player for \$120. Finally he realized he didn't have software for watching Blu-rays, and spent 85\$ on that. He stated this was an example of the *sunk cost fallacy*—which I must admit is a much cooler way of describing the insight than "sunk costs are sunk costs."

- (a) (3 points) Define a *sunk cost*. I simply want a definition, not examples.

- (b) (4 points) What is the "sunk costs fallacy" or, as I put it, "sunk costs are sunk costs"? I am looking for a simple explanation without any examples.

- (c) (4 points) Is he correct? You should assume he cannot resell anything in your answer.

- (d) (*6 points*) If he did consider reselling (which he did not) how would that change your answer? What new fundamental economic concept would become relevant?
- (e) (*6 points*) Write down the three axioms that are required for normative rationality, and define each one.
- (f) (*2 points*) What implicit assumption do we make about our subject's intelligence do we make when we assume they are rational.
- (g) (*6 points*) If we include the implicit assumption, is Drew rational? If we do not, is he rational now? Explain your answer.

- (h) (4 points) Why is it important to assume our subjects are rational even when we are faced with such silly behavior? What motivates this assumption?

3. (28 points total) Heddy has the utility function $U(F, C) = C - \frac{49}{F}$ where $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$.

- (a) (4 points) Show that this utility function is strictly monotonic for $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. You may assume this below even if you cannot prove it.

- (b) (2 points) Heddy wants to maximize his utility over the budget set $p_f F + p_c C \leq I$ where $p_f > 0$, $p_c > 0$ and $I > 0$. Set up the Lagrangian objective function we want to maximize.

(c) (*4 points*) Find the first derivatives of this objective function.

(d) (*4 points*) Which of these derivatives will be equal to zero in any optimum? Which might be strictly negative? Explain.

(e) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for F by equalizing bang for the bucks. **NOTE:** It will not be affected by Income.

(f) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for C .

- (g) (6 points) When and which of the first derivatives might be strictly negative? Explain.

4. (25 points total) The duality theorem tells us that:

$$h_x(p_x, p_y, p_z, u) = X(p_x, p_y, p_z, I(p_x, p_y, p_z, u))$$

and the envelope theorem tells us that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} = x$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} = y$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_z} = z$. (You may assume that all exogenous variables— (p_x, p_y, p_z, u) —are strictly positive.)

- (a) (9 points) Derive the Slutsky equation in elasticity form, defining each term in the final equation as you derive them.

- (b) (4 points) Which term(s) represent the substitution effect, which represent the income effect? Explain

- (c) (*3 points*) What is a *Giffen good*? Why are they strange? Why do we care about such a rarely observed type of good?
- (d) (*3 points*) What is the only empirically verified Giffen good?
- (e) (*6 points*) Using the Slutsky equation, explain why the only empirically verified Giffen good is an excellent candidate for being a Giffen good.

ECON 203

Midterm on Consumer Theory

Be sure to show your work for all answers, even if the work is simple.
This exam will begin at 12:05 in AZ25, 12:10 in AZ27 and 12:15 in A125.

It will end 100 minutes later.

1. (12 points) **Honor Statement:** Please read and sign the following statement:

I promise that my answers to this test are based on my own work without reference to any notes, books, or the assistance of any other person. I will also neither help others nor use a calculator or other electronic aid for calculation.

Name and Surname: _____

Student ID: _____

Signature: _____

2. (35 points total) In a YouTube video Drew Gooden explained how he first waited until it was almost too late to watch a series on Netflix, and then bought the Blu-ray collection for \$150 to finish the series. He then realized his CD player would not play blu-ray discs, and he bought a blu-ray player for \$120. Finally he realized he didn't have software for watching Blu-rays, and spent 85\$ on that. He stated this was an example of the *sunk cost fallacy*—which I must admit is a much cooler way of describing the insight than "sunk costs are sunk costs."

- (a) (3 points) Define a *sunk cost*. I simply want a definition, not examples.

- (b) (4 points) What is the "sunk costs fallacy" or, as I put it, "sunk costs are sunk costs"? I am looking for a simple explanation without any examples.

- (c) (4 points) Is he correct? You should assume he cannot resell anything in your answer.

- (d) (*6 points*) If he did consider reselling (which he did not) how would that change your answer? What new fundamental economic concept would become relevant?
- (e) (*6 points*) Write down the three axioms that are required for normative rationality, and define each one.
- (f) (*2 points*) What implicit assumption do we make about our subject's intelligence do we make when we assume they are rational.
- (g) (*6 points*) If we include the implicit assumption, is Drew rational? If we do not, is he rational now? Explain your answer.

- (h) (4 points) Why is it important to assume our subjects are rational even when we are faced with such silly behavior? What motivates this assumption?

3. (28 points total) Heddy has the utility function $U(F, C) = C - \frac{36}{F}$ where $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$.

- (a) (4 points) Show that this utility function is strictly monotonic for $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. You may assume this below even if you cannot prove it.

- (b) (2 points) Heddy wants to maximize his utility over the budget set $p_f F + p_c C \leq I$ where $p_f > 0$, $p_c > 0$ and $I > 0$. Set up the Lagrangian objective function we want to maximize.

(c) (*4 points*) Find the first derivatives of this objective function.

(d) (*4 points*) Which of these derivatives will be equal to zero in any optimum? Which might be strictly negative? Explain.

(e) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for F by equalizing bang for the bucks. **NOTE:** It will not be affected by Income.

(f) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for C .

- (g) (6 points) When and which of the first derivatives might be strictly negative? Explain.

4. (25 points total) The duality theorem tells us that:

$$h_x(p_x, p_y, p_z, u) = X(p_x, p_y, p_z, I(p_x, p_y, p_z, u))$$

and the envelope theorem tells us that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} = x$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} = y$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_z} = z$. (You may assume that all exogenous variables— (p_x, p_y, p_z, u) —are strictly positive.)

- (a) (9 points) Derive the Slutsky equation in elasticity form, defining each term in the final equation as you derive them.

- (b) (4 points) Which term(s) represent the substitution effect, which represent the income effect? Explain

- (c) (*3 points*) What is a *Giffen good*? Why are they strange? Why do we care about such a rarely observed type of good?
- (d) (*3 points*) What is the only empirically verified Giffen good?
- (e) (*6 points*) Using the Slutsky equation, explain why the only empirically verified Giffen good is an excellent candidate for being a Giffen good.

ECON 203

Midterm on Consumer Theory

Be sure to show your work for all answers, even if the work is simple.
 This exam will begin at 12:05 in AZ25, 12:10 in AZ27 and 12:15 in A125.

It will end 100 minutes later.

1. (12 points) **Honor Statement:** Please read and sign the following statement:

I promise that my answers to this test are based on my own work without reference to any notes, books, or the assistance of any other person. I will also neither help others nor use a calculator or other electronic aid for calculation.

Name and Surname: _____
 Student ID: _____
 Signature: _____

2. (35 points total) In a YouTube video Drew Gooden explained how he first waited until it was almost too late to watch a series on Netflix, and then bought the Blu-ray collection for \$150 to finish the series. He then realized his CD player would not play blu-ray discs, and he bought a blu-ray player for \$120. Finally he realized he didn't have software for watching Blu-rays, and spent 85\$ on that. He stated this was an example of the *sunk cost fallacy*—which I must admit is a much cooler way of describing the insight than "sunk costs are sunk costs."

- (a) (3 points) Define a *sunk cost*. I simply want a definition, not examples.

- (b) (4 points) What is the "sunk costs fallacy" or, as I put it, "sunk costs are sunk costs"? I am looking for a simple explanation without any examples.

- (c) (4 points) Is he correct? You should assume he cannot resell anything in your answer.

- (d) *(6 points)* If he did consider reselling (which he did not) how would that change your answer? What new fundamental economic concept would become relevant?
- (e) *(6 points)* Write down the three axioms that are required for normative rationality, and define each one.
- (f) *(2 points)* What implicit assumption do we make about our subject's intelligence do we make when we assume they are rational.
- (g) *(6 points)* If we include the implicit assumption, is Drew rational? If we do not, is he rational now? Explain your answer.

- (h) (4 points) Why is it important to assume our subjects are rational even when we are faced with such silly behavior? What motivates this assumption?

3. (28 points total) Heddy has the utility function $U(F, C) = C - \frac{27}{2F^2}$ where $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$.

- (a) (4 points) Show that this utility function is strictly monotonic for $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. You may assume this below even if you cannot prove it.

- (b) (2 points) Heddy wants to maximize his utility over the budget set $p_f F + p_c C \leq I$ where $p_f > 0$, $p_c > 0$ and $I > 0$. Set up the Lagrangian objective function we want to maximize.

(c) (*4 points*) Find the first derivatives of this objective function.

(d) (*4 points*) Which of these derivatives will be equal to zero in any optimum? Which might be strictly negative? Explain.

(e) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for F by equalizing bang for the bucks. **NOTE:** It will not be affected by Income.

(f) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for C .

- (g) (6 points) When and which of the first derivatives might be strictly negative? Explain.

4. (25 points total) The duality theorem tells us that:

$$h_x(p_x, p_y, p_z, u) = X(p_x, p_y, p_z, I(p_x, p_y, p_z, u))$$

and the envelope theorem tells us that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} = x$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} = y$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_z} = z$. (You may assume that all exogenous variables— (p_x, p_y, p_z, u) —are strictly positive.)

- (a) (9 points) Derive the Slutsky equation in elasticity form, defining each term in the final equation as you derive them.

- (b) (4 points) Which term(s) represent the substitution effect, which represent the income effect? Explain

- (c) (*3 points*) What is a *Giffen good*? Why are they strange? Why do we care about such a rarely observed type of good?
- (d) (*3 points*) What is the only empirically verified Giffen good?
- (e) (*6 points*) Using the Slutsky equation, explain why the only empirically verified Giffen good is an excellent candidate for being a Giffen good.

ECON 203

Midterm on Consumer Theory

Be sure to show your work for all answers, even if the work is simple.
This exam will begin at 12:05 in AZ25, 12:10 in AZ27 and 12:15 in A125.

It will end 100 minutes later.

1. (12 points) **Honor Statement:** Please read and sign the following statement:

I promise that my answers to this test are based on my own work without reference to any notes, books, or the assistance of any other person. I will also neither help others nor use a calculator or other electronic aid for calculation.

Name and Surname: _____

Student ID: _____

Signature: _____

2. (35 points total) In a YouTube video Drew Gooden explained how he first waited until it was almost too late to watch a series on Netflix, and then bought the Blu-ray collection for \$150 to finish the series. He then realized his CD player would not play blu-ray discs, and he bought a blu-ray player for \$120. Finally he realized he didn't have software for watching Blu-rays, and spent 85\$ on that. He stated this was an example of the *sunk cost fallacy*—which I must admit is a much cooler way of describing the insight than "sunk costs are sunk costs."

- (a) (3 points) Define a *sunk cost*. I simply want a definition, not examples.

- (b) (4 points) What is the "sunk costs fallacy" or, as I put it, "sunk costs are sunk costs"? I am looking for a simple explanation without any examples.

- (c) (4 points) Is he correct? You should assume he cannot resell anything in your answer.

- (d) (*6 points*) If he did consider reselling (which he did not) how would that change your answer? What new fundamental economic concept would become relevant?
- (e) (*6 points*) Write down the three axioms that are required for normative rationality, and define each one.
- (f) (*2 points*) What implicit assumption do we make about our subject's intelligence do we make when we assume they are rational.
- (g) (*6 points*) If we include the implicit assumption, is Drew rational? If we do not, is he rational now? Explain your answer.

- (h) (4 points) Why is it important to assume our subjects are rational even when we are faced with such silly behavior? What motivates this assumption?

3. (28 points total) Heddy has the utility function $U(F, C) = C - \frac{32}{F^2}$ where $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$.

- (a) (4 points) Show that this utility function is strictly monotonic for $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. You may assume this below even if you cannot prove it.

- (b) (2 points) Heddy wants to maximize his utility over the budget set $p_f F + p_c C \leq I$ where $p_f > 0$, $p_c > 0$ and $I > 0$. Set up the Lagrangian objective function we want to maximize.

(c) (*4 points*) Find the first derivatives of this objective function.

(d) (*4 points*) Which of these derivatives will be equal to zero in any optimum? Which might be strictly negative? Explain.

(e) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for F by equalizing bang for the bucks. **NOTE:** It will not be affected by Income.

(f) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for C .

- (g) (6 points) When and which of the first derivatives might be strictly negative? Explain.

4. (25 points total) The duality theorem tells us that:

$$h_x(p_x, p_y, p_z, u) = X(p_x, p_y, p_z, I(p_x, p_y, p_z, u))$$

and the envelope theorem tells us that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} = x$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} = y$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_z} = z$. (You may assume that all exogenous variables— (p_x, p_y, p_z, u) —are strictly positive.)

- (a) (9 points) Derive the Slutsky equation in elasticity form, defining each term in the final equation as you derive them.

- (b) (4 points) Which term(s) represent the substitution effect, which represent the income effect? Explain

- (c) (*3 points*) What is a *Giffen good*? Why are they strange? Why do we care about such a rarely observed type of good?
- (d) (*3 points*) What is the only empirically verified Giffen good?
- (e) (*6 points*) Using the Slutsky equation, explain why the only empirically verified Giffen good is an excellent candidate for being a Giffen good.

ECON 203

Midterm on Consumer Theory

Be sure to show your work for all answers, even if the work is simple.
This exam will begin at 12:05 in AZ25, 12:10 in AZ27 and 12:15 in A125.

It will end 100 minutes later.

1. (12 points) **Honor Statement:** Please read and sign the following statement:

I promise that my answers to this test are based on my own work without reference to any notes, books, or the assistance of any other person. I will also neither help others nor use a calculator or other electronic aid for calculation.

Name and Surname: _____

Student ID: _____

Signature: _____

2. (35 points total) In a YouTube video Drew Gooden explained how he first waited until it was almost too late to watch a series on Netflix, and then bought the Blu-ray collection for \$150 to finish the series. He then realized his CD player would not play blu-ray discs, and he bought a blu-ray player for \$120. Finally he realized he didn't have software for watching Blu-rays, and spent 85\$ on that. He stated this was an example of the *sunk cost fallacy*—which I must admit is a much cooler way of describing the insight than "sunk costs are sunk costs."

- (a) (3 points) Define a *sunk cost*. I simply want a definition, not examples.

- (b) (4 points) What is the "sunk costs fallacy" or, as I put it, "sunk costs are sunk costs"? I am looking for a simple explanation without any examples.

- (c) (4 points) Is he correct? You should assume he cannot resell anything in your answer.

- (d) (*6 points*) If he did consider reselling (which he did not) how would that change your answer? What new fundamental economic concept would become relevant?
- (e) (*6 points*) Write down the three axioms that are required for normative rationality, and define each one.
- (f) (*2 points*) What implicit assumption do we make about our subject's intelligence do we make when we assume they are rational.
- (g) (*6 points*) If we include the implicit assumption, is Drew rational? If we do not, is he rational now? Explain your answer.

- (h) (*4 points*) Why is it important to assume our subjects are rational even when we are faced with such silly behavior? What motivates this assumption?

3. (*28 points total*) Heddy has the utility function $U(F, C) = C - \frac{8}{F^4}$ where $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$.

- (a) (*4 points*) Show that this utility function is strictly monotonic for $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. You may assume this below even if you cannot prove it.

- (b) (*2 points*) Heddy wants to maximize his utility over the budget set $p_f F + p_c C \leq I$ where $p_f > 0$, $p_c > 0$ and $I > 0$. Set up the Lagrangian objective function we want to maximize.

(c) (*4 points*) Find the first derivatives of this objective function.

(d) (*4 points*) Which of these derivatives will be equal to zero in any optimum? Which might be strictly negative? Explain.

(e) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for F by equalizing bang for the bucks. **NOTE:** It will not be affected by Income.

(f) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for C .

- (g) (6 points) When and which of the first derivatives might be strictly negative? Explain.

4. (25 points total) The duality theorem tells us that:

$$h_x(p_x, p_y, p_z, u) = X(p_x, p_y, p_z, I(p_x, p_y, p_z, u))$$

and the envelope theorem tells us that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} = x$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} = y$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_z} = z$. (You may assume that all exogenous variables— (p_x, p_y, p_z, u) —are strictly positive.)

- (a) (9 points) Derive the Slutsky equation in elasticity form, defining each term in the final equation as you derive them.

- (b) (4 points) Which term(s) represent the substitution effect, which represent the income effect? Explain

- (c) (*3 points*) What is a *Giffen good*? Why are they strange? Why do we care about such a rarely observed type of good?
- (d) (*3 points*) What is the only empirically verified Giffen good?
- (e) (*6 points*) Using the Slutsky equation, explain why the only empirically verified Giffen good is an excellent candidate for being a Giffen good.

ECON 203

Midterm on Consumer Theory

Be sure to show your work for all answers, even if the work is simple.
This exam will begin at 12:05 in AZ25, 12:10 in AZ27 and 12:15 in A125.

It will end 100 minutes later.

1. (12 points) **Honor Statement:** Please read and sign the following statement:

I promise that my answers to this test are based on my own work without reference to any notes, books, or the assistance of any other person. I will also neither help others nor use a calculator or other electronic aid for calculation.

Name and Surname: _____

Student ID: _____

Signature: _____

2. (35 points total) In a YouTube video Drew Gooden explained how he first waited until it was almost too late to watch a series on Netflix, and then bought the Blu-ray collection for \$150 to finish the series. He then realized his CD player would not play blu-ray discs, and he bought a blu-ray player for \$120. Finally he realized he didn't have software for watching Blu-rays, and spent 85\$ on that. He stated this was an example of the *sunk cost fallacy*—which I must admit is a much cooler way of describing the insight than "sunk costs are sunk costs."

- (a) (3 points) Define a *sunk cost*. I simply want a definition, not examples.

- (b) (4 points) What is the "sunk costs fallacy" or, as I put it, "sunk costs are sunk costs"? I am looking for a simple explanation without any examples.

- (c) (4 points) Is he correct? You should assume he cannot resell anything in your answer.

- (d) *(6 points)* If he did consider reselling (which he did not) how would that change your answer? What new fundamental economic concept would become relevant?
- (e) *(6 points)* Write down the three axioms that are required for normative rationality, and define each one.
- (f) *(2 points)* What implicit assumption do we make about our subject's intelligence do we make when we assume they are rational.
- (g) *(6 points)* If we include the implicit assumption, is Drew rational? If we do not, is he rational now? Explain your answer.

- (h) (4 points) Why is it important to assume our subjects are rational even when we are faced with such silly behavior? What motivates this assumption?

3. (28 points total) Heddy has the utility function $U(F, C) = C - \frac{16}{3F^3}$ where $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$.

- (a) (4 points) Show that this utility function is strictly monotonic for $F \geq 0$ and $C \geq 0$. You may assume this below even if you cannot prove it.

- (b) (2 points) Heddy wants to maximize his utility over the budget set $p_f F + p_c C \leq I$ where $p_f > 0$, $p_c > 0$ and $I > 0$. Set up the Lagrangian objective function we want to maximize.

(c) (*4 points*) Find the first derivatives of this objective function.

(d) (*4 points*) Which of these derivatives will be equal to zero in any optimum? Which might be strictly negative? Explain.

(e) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for F by equalizing bang for the bucks. **NOTE:** It will not be affected by Income.

(f) (*4 points*) Assuming that all first derivatives are equal to zero, find the demand for C .

- (g) (6 points) When and which of the first derivatives might be strictly negative? Explain.

4. (25 points total) The duality theorem tells us that:

$$h_x(p_x, p_y, p_z, u) = X(p_x, p_y, p_z, I(p_x, p_y, p_z, u))$$

and the envelope theorem tells us that $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_x} = x$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_y} = y$, $\frac{\partial I}{\partial p_z} = z$. (You may assume that all exogenous variables— (p_x, p_y, p_z, u) —are strictly positive.)

- (a) (9 points) Derive the Slutsky equation in elasticity form, defining each term in the final equation as you derive them.

- (b) (4 points) Which term(s) represent the substitution effect, which represent the income effect? Explain

- (c) (*3 points*) What is a *Giffen good*? Why are they strange? Why do we care about such a rarely observed type of good?
- (d) (*3 points*) What is the only empirically verified Giffen good?
- (e) (*6 points*) Using the Slutsky equation, explain why the only empirically verified Giffen good is an excellent candidate for being a Giffen good.